

Attachment L

Comments from Applicants at Thurston County from Flip Charts for Dec 1, 2000

Section	COMMENTS	SUGGESTIONS
Guidance Doc Comments		
G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Don't like guidance documents 	Everything I need to know should be in check list
G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sometimes forgot to look at guidance document 	
Format comments		
C	Format – not enough space, applicant might provide too little info. Amount of space might suggest depth of answer	Provide more space
T	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Boxes need to work electronically... Circling needs to work electronically... 	
T	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need page numbers to make sure not missing a page
T	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Numbering system ok 	
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confused about space allowed for yes/no questions vs. text answers 	
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Indents confusing 	
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 out of 4 of the testers forgot to reference reports rather than write numbers 	
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DOE asked what group thought of Part C water section 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> May want to separate water bodies from stormwater Separate water quality and water quantity, if possible
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use 14 inch paper
Content comments		
B,C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does check list ask about onsite streams? 	
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do questions in part C ask enough about how/whether streams will be affected? 	
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Questioned value of question about plans, etc. w/each question 	
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If I know about other reports, am I supposed to submit to county? Some reports might be proprietary 	
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would reference and attach whole report, (if report isn't routed on – don't get info for 4.2.3, 4 2.4) 	
A, Map	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GPS – did you want a GPS point? 	
Map	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Why would the GPS number be useful? 	Provide guidance, (e.g. hand held GPS unit and give center of property)
B 2.3.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> How accurate must I be regarding: Sq ft of impervious surface If it is an estimate and the number changes what do we do about SEPA then? 	
B	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Be good to have a section at the end filled out by agency that describes reports required/turned in 	Local jurisdiction could write in gray area about additional reports

C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some people referred to a report only and attached report 	
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some people referred to report and wrote an answer 	
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is there some way certain kinds of activities can avoid certain questions? Re: changes, impacts, mitigation? For example, a scenario where the proposal meets the ordinance? 	
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No applicant is going to say rule doesn't fully mitigate 	
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No applicant is going to identify impacts 	In C. note in the last question change text to: Discuss the ability of current law to part or fully mitigate the project impact
B 2.3.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stormwater – gal/day – what storm event? proposal might change than what happens to info in SEPA document “Capture all stormwater, treat, infiltrate, discharge per jurisdiction standards” want to do this – frame question to get them to do this 	
G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need more information, e.g. DNR office trax system
C 4.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do I need to mention if infiltrating but not the same as before? 	
B or C?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to know if in an Aquifer Recharge or Aquifer Sensitive 	
2.3.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.3.3. tough to answer approximating but need to know what precision is desired 	
2.2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.2.2. do I identify the provider or identify whether I need the service? 	
2.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.4. What detail – level of permits, county would be okay with preliminary plat approval 	
B	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Part B. lengthy but helped with part C 	
2.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.4. would add “all permits required”
2.3.4 or G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not clear about peak hour trips per day 	
D	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Map page – not clear what we're looking for Assumed not required Missed map source reference 	Suggestion – may need to move forward
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> How documenting this would be for a small project application, such as a dock 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	Need to test with small jurisdiction, small project
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Liked screening questions 	
D	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Likes site map check list 	
G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information needed for check list in guidance would be useful (this is provided in guidance) 	
T	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Likes the citation system (numbering system) 	

G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Likes the guidance document 	
G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Guidance document huge help Examples and lists Websites, phone # RCW's and WACs very helpful 	Provide guidance for as many sections as possible
T	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Numbering system ok 	